

Lecture 4

Homework #4: 1.6.1 c, d, e, 1.6.2, 1.6.5, 1.7.2 c, 1.7.3

[For 1.7.2c, the book says to use the inductive definition of reversal. You might find this useful, but you might find other things more useful.]

Finishing up proof techniques:

The Diagonalization Principle

Example. Show that $[0,1)$ is uncountable.

Assume the contrary, i.e., that $[0,1)$ is countable. Then the elements can be itemized as follows:

$$\begin{array}{l} x_1 = 0. a_{11} \ a_{12} \ a_{13} \ \dots \\ x_2 = 0. a_{21} \ a_{22} \ a_{23} \ \dots \\ x_3 = 0. a_{31} \ a_{32} \ a_{33} \ \dots \\ \dots \end{array}$$

etc.

Where each x_i is the decimal expansion of a number between 0 and 1.

Now corrupt each digit along the diagonal - i.e.,

let d_{11} be some $n \in \{1, \dots, 8\} \neq a_{11}$

let d_{22} be some $n \in \{1, \dots, 8\} \neq a_{22}$

etc.

but then $0. d_{11}d_{22}\dots$ isn't enumerated!

Closure:

Let D be a set, and let $n \geq 0$,

Let $R \subseteq D^{n+1}$, an $(n+1)$ -ary relation on D .

A subset B of D is closed under R if

$b_{n+1} \in B$ whenever $b_1, \dots, b_n \in B$

in $(b_1, \dots, b_n, b_{n+1}) \in R$.

odd int closed under multiplication? YES.

positive integers closed under subtraction? NO.

R^* is the reflexive, transitive closure of a binary relation $R \subseteq A \times A$
 $= R \cup \{(a,b) : \text{there is a path in } R \text{ from } a \text{ to } b\}$

it is the closure of R under reflexivity and transitivity.

Now, finally moving on to the topic of this course:

Since we'll be investigating models of computing, we need a way to represent data: strings

Definitions:

An **alphabet** is a finite set of symbols.

ex. $\{0,1\}$

A **string (word)** over an alphabet is a finite sequence of symbols.

ex. 00111, 0, abc, aaabbb

a special string is the **empty string** = ϵ

The set of all strings over an alphabet Σ is denoted Σ^* .

The **length** of a word is its length as a sequence

ex. $|\epsilon| = 0$

The **concatenation** of two strings x and y is denoted xy or $x \circ y$.

(xy is a new sequence comprised of the sequence x followed by the sequence y)

a special case is $x \circ \epsilon = \epsilon \circ x$

A string v is a **substring** of w if $|v| \leq |w|$ and $w = xvy$ for some x, y .
(x and/or y can be empty)

The **reversal** of a string is the string written backward.

Let $w \in \Sigma^*$. The reversal of w , denoted w^R is as follows:

Def (inductive):

1. if w is a string of length 0, then

$$w^R = w = \epsilon.$$

2. if w is a string of length $(n+1) > 0$,
then $w = ua$ for some $a \in \Sigma$ and

$$w^R = au^R$$

NOTE:

in genl, will use a, b, c to denote symbols;
x, y, z, u, v, w to denote words

can use the def above to show that $(uv)^R = v^R u^R$

Examples: of inductive definitions and proofs for strings.

I. a palindrome is a string w such that $w = w^R$

Inductive Def:

ϵ is a palindrome

a is a palindrome for each $a \in \Sigma$

if $a \in \Sigma$ and x is a string and a palindrome, then
 axa is a palindrome.

II. Show that $(w^R)^R = w$, for any string w .

Will show by induction on the length of w .

Basis: Let $w = \epsilon$. Then $(w^R)^R = (\epsilon^R)^R = \epsilon^R = \epsilon = w$.

I.H. Assume $(w^R)^R = w$ for $|w| \leq n$.

Induction Step. Let $|w| = n+1$. Then $w = ua$ for some $u \in \Sigma^*$ and
 $a \in \Sigma$ such that $|u| = n$.

$$(w^R)^R = ((ua)^R)^R = (a^R u^R)^R = (u^R)^R (a^R)^R = ua = w.$$